

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/557,088	04/21/2000	Hiroshi Sonabe	HYAE:097	6278
7	590 01/12/2004		EXAM	INER
Parkhurst & Wendel LLP			DOOLEY, MATTHEW C	
1421 Prince Str	reet			
Suite 210		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Alexandria, VA 22314-2805			2133	26
			DATE MAILED: 01/12/2004	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

3

	Application No. Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/557,088	SONABE, HIROSHI			
• .	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Matthew C. Dooley	2133			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
THE REPLY FILED 24 December 2003 FAILS TO PLA Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appel Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this appli (1) a timely filed amendment wh	cation. A proper reply to a ich places the application in			
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dhave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exter 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortene (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	Ivisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the han SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of SILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE ate on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. Insign and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	of the final rejection.  E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP  136(a) and the appropriate extension fee are the appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:					
(a) 🔯 they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or					
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.					
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).					
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:					
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.					
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	<b>S</b> :				
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-4</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8.⊠ The drawing correction filed on <u>21 April 2000</u> is a)⊠ approved or b)□ disapproved by the Examiner.					
9. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					
10. Other:	AUBE SUPERVIS <del>OR</del> Y	PATENT EXAMINAT  GY CENTER 2100			

Ò

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 09/557,088

Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: As noted on the interview summary accompanying this advisory action, the amendments to claims 1-4 would be objected to if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment, due to fifteenth line of claim 1, (and of the corresponding lines in claims 2-4). The amended claims seem to be directed to limitations that overcome the previous rejection put forth in the final rejection, however, these claims raise new issues that would require further consideration and search and as such have not been entered. The firs 3 lines of the amendments to claims 1-4 do not present objectionable issues, however, language that follows those first 3 lines does not clearly define the differences between the prior art of record and the applicant's invention and would have to be modified to more accurately define the scope of the applicant's invention.